

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ABPLICATIONAND FILMS PATE 36	MCDERMUT NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
KEVIN MCDERMOTT 196 PHILLIPS DRIVE HAMPSTEAD MD 21074	MM31/0428	EXAMINER HAYNES, M ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER 2875 04/28/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	08/647,461	Keun McDermott
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	Machtay	res 2875
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address
Period for Response		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defection of the period of the	s, a response within the statuto efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	4/98	
☐ This action is FINAL .		•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	•	
Disposition of Claims		
∠ Claim(s) 23 - 38		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		
Ø Claim(s) 23-38		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		•
□ Claim(s)		·
Application Papers	•	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	a Review. PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	• •	• •
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. 	the priority documents ha	ave been
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb received in this national stage application from the International 		
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s) 🗆 Ir	nterview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	lotice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94		Other
	e Action Summary	

Serial Number: 08/647,461 Page 2

Art Unit: 2215

44

DETAILED ACTION

1. In light of the continuing prosecution of several cases with similar subject matter, in particular application serial number 08/642,497, which is the broadest application of the inventive concept, the Action from the previous Office Action is being repeated. When the determination of patentability is made of case number 08/642,497, then it can be determined whether or not a terminal disclaimer is required or appropriate for case number 08/647,461.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 19-22 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-23 of copending Application No. 08/631,821 as well as claims 1-21 of copending application 08/642,661. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims of the instant	Claims of Application	Claims of Application
Application	08/631,821	08/642,661
1	1,3	1

Serial Number: 08/647,461

Art Unit: 2215

2	2	2
3	4	3
4	5,12	4
5	6	5
6	7,13	6
7	. 9	7
8	10,21	8
9	11	9
10	8	10
11	14	11
12		12
13	15	13
14	16	14
15	17	15
16		16
17		17
18		18
19	18	19
20,21	19,20	20
22	23	21

Serial Number: 08/647,461

Page 4

Art Unit: 2215

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mack Haynes whose telephone number is (703) 308-5460.

Mack Haynes

April 27, 1998

SANDRA L. O'SHEA

GROUP 2200